A NOTE ON THE STABLE BERNSTEIN THEOREM

GIOACCHINO ANTONELLI AND KAI XU

Let $(M^n, \overline{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an immersed, two-sided, stable minimal hypersurface. The Bernstein problem asks whether M is a flat hyperplane.

When M is graphical, the problem was solved for $n \leqslant 7$ in [4, 15, 13, 1, 21], while a counterexample when $n \geqslant 8$ was constructed in [5]. In [5] the authors also construct an immersed, two-sided, stable minimal hypersurfaces $M^7 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^8$ that is not a hyperplane.

The $n = 2$ case of the Bernstein problem has been solved by [8, 14, 19]. Recently, the $n = 3$ case was resolved by different methods in [10, 11, 9]. The idea in [11] has been pushed to $n = 4$ and $n = 5$ in the very recent works [12], and [17], respectively. These proofs show that M has Euclidean volume growth. Hence, leveraging the Schoen–Simon–Yau curvature estimate [20], it follows that M must be flat. When $n = 6$, the curvature estimate is proved in [3] assuming that M has extrinsic Euclidean volume growth.

The aim of this note is to give a new point of view on the recent proofs [11, 12, 17], and to show an obstruction when $n = 6$. Let us denote $\overline{\Delta}, \overline{A}$ the Laplacian, and the second fundamental form of M, respectively. Let u be a positive eigenfunction of the stability operator, so that

$$\overline{\Delta} u \leqslant -|\overline{A}|^2 u. \qquad (1)$$

Let r be the distance function from the origin on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We consider a direct μ-bubble construction on $(M, \overline{g})$, associated to the energy

$$E(\Omega) := \int_{\partial\Omega} r^{-\beta} u^\gamma - \int_\Omega h r^{-\beta} u^\gamma, \qquad (2)$$

for some constants $\beta, \gamma > 0$ to be chosen. For a fixed $r_0 > 0$, we will make the choice $h = r^{-1} \cot\left(\varepsilon \log(r/r_0)\right)$, with $\varepsilon \ll 1$. Hence, minimizing (2) gives a stable μ-bubble in the annular region $\left\{r_0 < r < \pi^{1/\varepsilon} r_0\right\}$, for every $r_0 > 0$. Denoting $\Sigma := \partial\Omega$, we aim at showing that, for some conformal change $\widetilde{g} = r^{-2p} g$ of Σ (when $n \in \{3, 4\}$ we can take $p = 0$), the μ-bubble stability inequality implies the spectral Ricci lower bound[1]

$$\int_\Sigma \left(\frac{n-2}{n-3}|\widetilde{\nabla}\eta^2| + \widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}} \cdot \eta^2\right) \mathrm{d}\widetilde{V} \geqslant \lambda \int_\Sigma \eta^2 \mathrm{d}\widetilde{V}, \qquad \forall \eta \in C^\infty(\Sigma), \qquad (3)$$

for some $\lambda > 0$. Then by [2, Theorem 1], $(\Sigma, \widetilde{g})$ will enjoy a volume upper bound depending on n, λ. Hence, going back to $\overline{g}$, and using the Michael–Simon isoperimetric inequality [18, 6], M shall have Euclidean volume growth. Notice that $\frac{n-2}{n-3}$ is the largest constant that one can put in (3) for this strategy to work, due to [2, Remark 4].

The derivation from the stability inequality of (2) to (3) eventually boils down to showing that three matrices are negative definite for some careful choice of parameters.

Let us point out the following relation between (2) and the μ-bubble arguments in [10, 12, 17]. Suppose u satisfies (1). Then, after the Gulliver-Lawson conformal change, one can choose the spectral biRicci eigenfunction in [12, 17] to be $r^{\frac{n-2}{2}} u$. Taking this transformation, and the conformal change into account, the standard μ-bubble energies in [12, 17] are thus transformed to (2) for some choices of β, γ.

---

[1] When $n = 3$, the constant $\frac{n-2}{n-3}$ in (3) can be substituted with a sufficiently large number.

The bi-Ricci curvature, which appeared in [12, 17], does not play a role in our argument. Finally, when $n = 6$, there is no choice of parameters so that the present strategy works; see Lemma 8. This seems to suggest that for $n \geqslant 6$ a new idea has to be introduced if one is willing to show that M has Euclidean volume growth.

Notations. In this note we assume $n \geqslant 3$. We use $\overline{g}$ to denote the metric on the stable minimal hypersurface M, and $\overline{A}, \overline{\nabla}, \overline{\Delta}$, etc, for the objects associated with $(M, \overline{g})$. We use g to denote the metric on the μ-bubble Σ, and A, ∇, Δ, etc, for the objects associated with (Σ, g). The objects after the conformal change on Σ will be denoted $\widetilde{g}, \widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}}, \widetilde{\nabla}$, etc. For a function f, and vector field X, we write $f_X := \partial f / \partial X$. Following [2], we use $\mathrm{Ric}(x)$ to denote the minimal eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor at the point x. We denote with ν the unit normal to the $(n-1)$-dimensional manifold Σ in M, and with $\overline{\nu}$ the unit normal to the n-dimensional manifold M in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Acknowledgments. We warmly thank Otis Chodosh, Chao Li, and Luciano Mari for useful suggestions about this note.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Lemma 1 ([12, Proposition 3.2]). *Let M^n be a minimal hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Then:*
(1) $\overline{\nabla}^2 r = r^{-1}\overline{g} - r^{-1}\mathrm{d}r^2 - r_{\overline{\nu}}\overline{A}$,
(2) $\overline{\Delta} r = nr^{-1} - r^{-1}|\overline{\nabla} r|^2$.

Lemma 2 ([16, Theorem 7.30]). *Let Σ^{n-1} be a manifold and $f \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$. Consider the conformal metric $\widetilde{g} = e^{2f}g$. Then we have*

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}} = \mathrm{Ric} - (n-3)\nabla^2 f + (n-3)\mathrm{d}f^2 - (\Delta f)g - (n-3)|\nabla f|^2 g. \tag{4}$$

Lemma 3. *For any $\varepsilon, r_0 > 0$ and unit vector field ν on M, the function*

$$h := h(r) = r^{-1}\cot\left(\varepsilon \log(r_0^{-1}r)\right)$$

satisfies

$$-h_\nu - hr^{-1}r_\nu - \varepsilon h^2 - \varepsilon r^{-2} \leqslant 0.$$

Proof. We may directly calculate

$$-h_\nu - hr^{-1}r_\nu - \varepsilon h^2 - \varepsilon r^{-2} = \varepsilon(h^2 + r^{-2})(r_\nu - 1) \leqslant 0. \qquad \square$$

2. THE μ-BUBBLE CONSTRUCTION

Let $(M^n, \overline{g})$ be a immersed two-sided stable minimal surface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Thus we have

$$\int_M |\overline{\nabla}\varphi|^2 - |\overline{A}|^2\varphi^2 \geqslant 0, \qquad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(M).$$

Therefore, we can choose a positive $u \in C^\infty(M)$ such that

$$\overline{\Delta} u \leqslant -|\overline{A}|^2 u.$$

For constants $\beta, \gamma > 0$ to be determined, consider the μ-bubble defined by minimizing the energy

$$E(\Omega) = \int_{\partial\Omega} r^{-\beta}u^\gamma - \int_\Omega hr^{-\beta}u^\gamma. \tag{5}$$

Let $\Sigma := \partial\Omega$ be the boundary of the μ-bubble. Let g, A, H, ν denote the induced metric, second fundamental form, mean curvature, and outer unit normal of $\Sigma^{n-1} \hookrightarrow M^n$. Below we make the agreement that all the integrals are taken on Σ with respect to the volume form induced by g.

Given any $\varphi \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$, consider a variation $\{\Sigma_\tau\}$ of Σ, so that the variational vector field at $\tau = 0$ equals $\varphi\nu$. Since Σ is a critical point of (5), the first variation gives

$$0 = \frac{\mathrm{d}E(\Sigma_\tau)}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big|_{\tau=0} = \int r^{-\beta} u^\gamma \varphi \Big[H - \beta r^{-1} r_\nu + \gamma u^{-1} u_\nu - h \Big].$$

Hence

$$H = h - \gamma u^{-1} u_\nu + \beta r^{-1} r_\nu. \tag{6}$$

Denote $Y := u^{-1} u_\nu$, $Z := r^{-1} r_\nu$, so we have $H = h - \gamma Y + \beta Z$.

2.1. Source terms from the stability inequality.

By calculating the second variation $0 \leqslant \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 E}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2}|_{\tau=0}$, we obtain the following:

$$0 \leqslant \int r^{-\beta} u^\gamma \varphi \Big[-\Delta\varphi - |A|^2 \varphi - \overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{\nu\nu}\varphi + \beta r^{-2} r_\nu^2 \varphi - \beta r^{-1}\varphi \overline{\nabla}^2 r(\nu,\nu) + \beta r^{-1}\langle \nabla r, \nabla\varphi\rangle$$

$$- \gamma u^{-2} u_\nu^2 \varphi + \gamma u^{-1}\varphi \overline{\Delta} u - \gamma u^{-1}\varphi \Delta u - \gamma u^{-1}\varphi H u_\nu - \gamma u^{-1}\langle \nabla u, \nabla\varphi\rangle - h_\nu\varphi \Big].$$

There are two ways to expand the term $\overline{\nabla}^2 r(\nu,\nu)$, thus we set a new variable to keep this degree of freedom. For a constant $t \in \mathbb{R}$ to be determined, we split

$$\overline{\nabla}^2 r(\nu,\nu) = t\big(\overline{\Delta} r - \Delta r - H r_\nu\big) + (1-t)\overline{\nabla}^2 r(\nu,\nu)$$
$$= ntr^{-1} - tr^{-1}|\overline{\nabla} r|^2 - t\Delta r - tH r_\nu + (1-t)r^{-1} \tag{7}$$
$$- (1-t)r^{-1} r_\nu^2 - (1-t)r_{\bar\nu}\overline{A}_{\nu\nu}.$$

Plugging this into the stability inequality, we obtain:

$$0 \leqslant \int r^{-\beta} u^\gamma \varphi \Big[-\Delta\varphi - |A|^2 \varphi - \overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{\nu\nu}\varphi + \beta r^{-2} r_\nu^2 \varphi$$

$$+ \big[-(nt - t + 1)\beta r^{-2}\varphi + t\beta r^{-2}|\overline{\nabla} r|^2 \varphi \big] + t\beta r^{-1}\varphi \Delta r + t\beta r^{-1}\varphi H r_\nu$$

$$+ (1-t)\beta r^{-2} r_\nu^2 \varphi + (1-t)\beta r^{-1} r_{\bar\nu}\overline{A}_{\nu\nu}\varphi + \beta r^{-1}\langle \nabla r, \nabla\varphi\rangle$$

$$- \gamma u^{-2} u_\nu^2 \varphi + \gamma u^{-1}\varphi \overline{\Delta} u - \gamma u^{-1}\varphi \Delta u - \gamma u^{-1}\varphi H u_\nu - \gamma u^{-1}\langle \nabla u, \nabla\varphi\rangle - h_\nu\varphi \Big].$$

There are sixteen terms in this integral, let us denote them by $[[1]] \sim [[16]]$ respectively. For another test function $\psi \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$, set $\varphi := r^{\beta/2} u^{-\gamma/2}\psi$. We simplify each term as follows: (for better readability, we will drop the integration sign in all the computations below; the symbol $\overset{*}{=}$ means we are using integration by parts)

$[[1]] = -r^{-\beta/2} u^{\gamma/2}\psi \Delta(r^{\beta/2} u^{-\gamma/2}\psi) \overset{*}{=} \nabla(r^{-\beta/2} u^{\gamma/2}\psi) \cdot \nabla(r^{\beta/2} u^{-\gamma/2}\psi),$

$[[2]] = -|A|^2\psi^2,$

$[[3]] = -\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{\nu\nu}\psi^2 = \overline{A}^2(\nu,\nu)\psi^2$ (where $\overline{A}_{ij}^2 := \overline{A}_{ip}\overline{g}^{pq}\overline{A}_{qj}$),

$[[4]] = \beta Z^2\psi^2,$

$[[5]] = -(nt - t + 1)\beta r^{-2}\psi^2 + t\beta r^{-2}\psi^2|\overline{\nabla} r|^2,$

$[[6]] = t\beta r^{-1}\psi^2 \Delta r \overset{*}{=} -t\beta\nabla(r^{-1}\psi^2) \cdot \nabla r,$

$[[7]] = t\beta\psi^2(h - \gamma Y + \beta Z)Z,$

$[[8]] = (1-t)\beta\psi^2 Z^2,$

$[[9]] = (1-t)\beta\psi^2 r^{-1} r_{\bar\nu}\overline{A}_{\nu\nu},$

$[[10]] = \beta r^{-\beta/2} u^{\gamma/2}\psi r^{-1}\nabla r \cdot \nabla(r^{\beta/2} u^{-\gamma/2}\psi),$

$[[11]] = -\gamma Y^2\psi^2,$

$[[12]] = \gamma u^{-1}\psi^2 \overline{\Delta} u \leqslant -\gamma|\overline{A}|^2\psi^2,$

$[[13]] = -\gamma u^{-1}\psi^2 \Delta u \overset{*}{=} \gamma\nabla(u^{-1}\psi^2) \cdot \nabla u,$

$$[[14]] = -\gamma\psi^2(h - \gamma Y + \beta Z)Y,$$
$$[[15]] = -\gamma r^{-\beta/2}u^{\gamma/2}\psi u^{-1}\nabla u \cdot \nabla(r^{\beta/2}u^{-\gamma/2}\psi),$$
$$[[16]] = -h_\nu\psi^2.$$

2.2. Target terms from spectral Ricci curvature.

For $p \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the conformal metric $\widetilde{g} = r^{-2p}g$ on the μ bubble Σ. Let $\widetilde{e}$ be a $\widetilde{g}$-unit measurable vector field such that $\widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}}(\widetilde{e}, \widetilde{e}) = \widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}}$ everywhere on Σ. Then set $e = r^{-p}\widetilde{e}$ (which is g-unit). Let $\{e_i\}_{2 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1}$ be measurable g-unit vector fields that form an orthonormal frame on Σ along with e.

We aim at showing that the stability inequality implies the spectral Ricci bound

$$s\int\left(\frac{n-2}{n-3}|\widetilde{\nabla}\eta|^2 + \widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}} \cdot \eta^2\right)\mathrm{d}\widetilde{V} \geqslant \varepsilon\int\eta^2\,\mathrm{d}\widetilde{V}, \tag{8}$$

on Σ, for some choice $s > 0^2$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. When $n = 3$ one may replace $\frac{n-2}{n-3}$ with a sufficiently large positive number, and the same strategy still works.

The first step for achieving our goal is to convert (8) back to the original metric g, and obtain a target expression. The integrals below are on Σ, and when we omit the volume form, we are assuming the integration is with respect to g. Using Lemma 2 with $f = -p\log r$, we have

$$J := \int\left(\frac{n-2}{n-3}s|\widetilde{\nabla}\eta|^2 + s\widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}}(\widetilde{e}, \widetilde{e})\eta^2\right)\mathrm{d}\widetilde{V} = \int r^{(3-n)p}\left(\frac{n-2}{n-3}s|\nabla\eta|^2 + s\widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}}(e, e)\eta^2\right)$$

$$= \int\frac{n-2}{n-3}sr^{(3-n)p}|\nabla\eta|^2 + r^{(3-n)p}s\Big[\mathrm{Ric}(e, e) + (n-3)p\nabla^2\log r(e, e)$$

$$+ (n-3)p^2|\nabla_e\log r|^2 + p\Delta\log r - (n-3)p^2|\nabla\log r|^2\Big]\eta^2.$$

To remove the powers on r, we make the choice $\eta := r^{\frac{n-3}{2}p}\psi$ and simplify:

$$J = \int\frac{n-2}{n-3}s|\nabla\psi|^2 + (n-2)sp\psi\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\log r + \frac{1}{4}(n-2)(n-3)sp^2\psi^2|\nabla\log r|^2$$

$$+ s\psi^2\,\mathrm{Ric}(e, e) + (n-3)sp\psi^2\nabla^2\log r(e, e) + (n-3)sp^2\psi^2 r^{-2}r_e^2$$

$$- 2sp\psi\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\log r - (n-3)sp^2\psi^2|\nabla\log r|^2.$$

There are two complex terms. We rewrite the first using Gauss' equations:

$$s\,\mathrm{Ric}(e, e) = s\sum_i R_{eiie} = s\sum_i\left(\overline{R}_{eiie} + A_{ee}A_{ii} - A_{ei}^2\right)$$

$$= s\sum_i\left(\overline{A}_{ee}\overline{A}_{ii} - \overline{A}_{ei}^2\right) + s\sum_i\left(A_{ee}A_{ii} - A_{ei}^2\right),$$

and for the second we notice:

$$(n-3)sp\psi^2\nabla^2\log r(e, e) = (n-3)sp\psi^2\left[\overline{\nabla}^2\log r(e, e) - A_{ee}r^{-1}r_\nu\right]$$

$$= (n-3)sp\psi^2\left[r^{-2} - 2r^{-2}r_e^2 - r^{-1}r_{\overline{\nu}}\overline{A}_{ee} - r^{-1}r_\nu A_{ee}\right].$$

Combining the expressions of the same type, we are led to define the following terms $[[17]] \sim [[25]]$, that satisfy $\sum_{k=17}^{25}[[k]] + s\int\left(\frac{n-2}{n-3}|\widetilde{\nabla}\eta|^2 + \widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}}(\widetilde{e}, \widetilde{e})\eta^2\right)\mathrm{d}\widetilde{V} = 0$. As usual, we omit the integral sign in the expressions.

$$[[17]] = -\frac{n-2}{n-3}s|\nabla\psi|^2,$$
$$[[18]] = -(n-4)sp\psi\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\log r,^3$$

---

[2] The appearance of α-biRicci curvature in [17] corresponds to the matching parameter s in (8).

[3] When $n = 3$, this term is $2sp\psi\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\log r$, thus the $(1,3)$-entry of (15) is $-\frac{1}{2}\beta(2t - 1) + sp$.

$$[[19]] = s\psi^2 \sum_i \left(\overline{A}_{ei}^2 - \overline{A}_{ee}\overline{A}_{ii} \right),$$

$$[[20]] = s\psi^2 \sum_i \left(A_{ei}^2 - A_{ee}A_{ii} \right),$$

$$[[21]] = (n-3)sp\psi^2 r^{-1} r_{\overline{\nu}} \overline{A}_{ee},$$

$$[[22]] = (n-3)sp\psi^2 r^{-1} r_\nu A_{ee},$$

$$[[23]] = \tfrac{1}{4}(6-n)(n-3)sp^2\psi^2 r^{-2}|\nabla r|^2,$$

$$[[24]] = (n-3)s(2p-p^2)\psi^2 r^{-2} r_e^2,$$

$$[[25]] = -(n-3)sp\psi^2 r^{-2}.$$

2.3. **Algebraic reductions.** We aim to arrange the terms $[[1]] \sim [[25]]$ into three groups, and turn the proof of the main theorem into the negative definiteness of three corresponding matrices. As usual, we suppress the integral signs in this subsection.

We start with collecting the miscellaneous terms:

$$I_R = [[5]] + [[16]] + [[23]] + [[24]] + [[25]]. \tag{9}$$

Let ε denote a sufficiently small number. Notice that $|\nabla r|^2 + r_\nu^2 + r_{\overline{\nu}}^2 = 1$. We use this to decompose $[[5]]$ and $[[25]]$, and obtain (recall $Z = r^{-1}r_\nu$)

$$
\psi^{-2} I_R \leqslant \left[-h_\nu - hr^{-1}r_\nu - \varepsilon h^2 - 2\varepsilon r^{-2} \right] + C_1 r^{-2}|\nabla r|^2 + C_2 r^{-2} r_{\overline{\nu}}^2 + C_3 Z^2 + hZ
$$
$$
+ \left[2\varepsilon r^{-2}|\nabla r|^2 + 2\varepsilon r^{-2} r_{\overline{\nu}}^2 + \varepsilon h^2 + 2\varepsilon Z^2 \right], \tag{10}
$$

where

$$C_1 := -\left[nt - 2t + 1 \right]\beta - (n-3)sp + (n-3)s\max\left\{2p - p^2, 0\right\} \tag{11}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4}(6-n)(n-3)sp^2, \tag{12}$$

$$C_2 := -\left[nt - t + 1 \right]\beta - (n-3)sp, \tag{13}$$

$$C_3 := -\left[nt - 2t + 1 \right]\beta - (n-3)sp. \tag{14}$$

The reason to add a $-hr^{-1}r_\nu$ term in (10) is clear by Lemma 3.

Lemma 4 (Gradient terms). *Set the matrix*

$$
P_\nabla = \begin{pmatrix}
1 - \frac{n-2}{n-3}s & \frac{\gamma}{2} & -\frac{\beta(2t-1)+(n-4)sp}{2} \\
\frac{\gamma}{2} & \frac{\gamma^2}{4} - \gamma & -\frac{\beta\gamma}{4} \\
-\frac{\beta(2t-1)+(n-4)sp}{2} & -\frac{\beta\gamma}{4} & \frac{\beta^2}{4} + t\beta + C_1
\end{pmatrix}, \tag{15}
$$

and, for $\varepsilon > 0$, collect the gradient terms

$$I_\nabla := [[1]] + [[6]] + [[10]] + [[13]] + [[15]] + [[17]] + [[18]] + (C_1 + 2\varepsilon)\psi^2 r^{-2}|\nabla r|^2. \tag{16}$$

If $P_\nabla < 0$, then for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $I_\nabla \leqslant 0$.

Proof. Set $\theta = r^{-\beta/2} u^{\gamma/2}$. We compute (as usual, we suppress the integral sign for the simplicity of expressions):

$$[[1]] = \nabla(\theta\psi) \cdot \nabla(\theta^{-1}\psi) = |\nabla\psi|^2 - \psi^2|\nabla\log\theta|^2, \tag{17}$$

and

$$[[6]] = -2t\beta\psi\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\log r + t\beta\psi^2|\nabla\log r|^2,$$
$$[[13]] = 2\gamma\psi\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\log u - \gamma\psi^2|\nabla\log u|^2 \tag{18}$$

and

$$[[10]] + [[15]] = \beta\theta\psi\nabla\log r \cdot \nabla(\theta^{-1}\psi) - \gamma\theta\psi\nabla\log u \cdot \nabla(\theta^{-1}\psi)$$
$$= \beta\psi\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\log r - \gamma\psi\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla\log u + 2\psi^2|\nabla\log\theta|^2. \tag{19}$$

We also expand

$$\psi^2 |\nabla \log \theta|^2 = \frac{\beta^2}{4} \psi^2 |\nabla \log r|^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4} \psi^2 |\nabla \log u|^2 - \frac{\beta\gamma}{2} \psi^2 \nabla \log r \cdot \nabla \log u. \qquad (20)$$

Assembling $(17) \sim (20)$ and adding up with $[[17]] + [[18]] + (C_1 + 2\varepsilon)\psi^2 |\nabla \log r|^2$, we get[4]

$$\psi^{-2} I_\nabla = \left(1 - \frac{n-2}{n-3} s\right) \frac{|\nabla \psi|^2}{\psi^2} + \left(\frac{\gamma^2}{4} - \gamma\right) |\nabla \log u|^2 + \left(\frac{\beta^2}{4} + t\beta + C_1 + 2\varepsilon\right) |\nabla \log r|^2$$

$$+ \gamma \frac{\nabla \psi}{\psi} \cdot \nabla \log u - \left[\beta(2t-1) + (n-4)sp\right] \frac{\nabla \psi}{\psi} \cdot \nabla \log r - \frac{\beta\gamma}{2} \nabla \log u \cdot \nabla \log r.$$

The matrix P_∇ is exactly the coefficient matrix of this quadratic form subtracted with $\mathrm{diag}(0, 0, 2\varepsilon)$. Thus the assertion follows. $\qquad \square$

Lemma 5 (Terms involving $\overline{A}$). *Set the matrix*

$$P_{\overline{A}} := \begin{pmatrix} s - \frac{n-1}{n-2}\gamma & \frac{1}{2}\left(s - \frac{2\gamma}{n-2}\right) & \frac{1}{2}(n-3)sp \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(s - \frac{2\gamma}{n-2}\right) & 1 - \frac{n-1}{n-2}\gamma & \frac{1}{2}(1-t)\beta \\ \frac{1}{2}(n-3)sp & \frac{1}{2}(1-t)\beta & C_2 \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (21)$$

If $2\gamma \geqslant \max\{1, s\}$, and $P_{\overline{A}} < 0$, then for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$I_{\overline{A}} := [[3]] + [[9]] + [[12]] + [[19]] + [[21]] + (C_2 + 2\varepsilon)\psi^2 r^{-2} r_{\overline{\nu}}^2 \leqslant 0. \qquad (22)$$

Proof. If $2\gamma \geqslant \max\{1, s\}$, then we can discard the cross curvature terms and estimate

$$\psi^{-2} I_{\overline{A}} = \left[\overline{A}_{\nu\nu}^2 + \overline{A}_{\nu e}^2 + \sum_i \overline{A}_{\nu i}^2\right] + (1-t)\beta r^{-1} r_{\overline{\nu}} \overline{A}_{\nu\nu} + (n-3)spr^{-1} r_{\overline{\nu}} \overline{A}_{ee}$$

$$+ \left[-\gamma \overline{A}_{\nu\nu}^2 - \gamma \overline{A}_{ee}^2 - \gamma \sum_i \overline{A}_{ii}^2 - 2\gamma \overline{A}_{\nu e}^2 - 2\gamma \sum_i \overline{A}_{\nu i}^2 - 2\gamma \sum_i \overline{A}_{ei}^2\right.$$

$$\left. - 2\gamma \sum_{i<j} \overline{A}_{ij}^2\right] + \left[-s\overline{A}_{ee} \sum_i \overline{A}_{ii} + s \sum_i \overline{A}_{ei}^2\right] + (C_2 + 2\varepsilon) r^{-2} r_{\overline{\nu}}^2$$

$$\leqslant (1-\gamma) \overline{A}_{\nu\nu}^2 - \gamma \overline{A}_{ee}^2 - \gamma \sum_i \overline{A}_{ii}^2 - s\overline{A}_{ee} \sum_i \overline{A}_{ii}$$

$$+ (1-t)\beta r^{-1} r_{\overline{\nu}} \overline{A}_{\nu\nu} + (n-3)spr^{-1} r_{\overline{\nu}} \overline{A}_{ee} + (C_2 + 2\varepsilon) r^{-2} r_{\overline{\nu}}^2.$$

Then we use the trace inequality and the fact $\sum_i \overline{A}_{ii} + \overline{A}_{ee} + \overline{A}_{\nu\nu} = 0$ to eliminate the $\overline{A}_{ii}$ term. Calling $U = \overline{A}_{ee}$, $V = \overline{A}_{\nu\nu}$, $W = r^{-1} r_{\overline{\nu}}$, this gives the result:

$$\psi^{-2} I_{\overline{A}} \leqslant -\gamma U^2 + (1-\gamma)V^2 - \frac{\gamma}{n-2}(U+V)^2 + sU(U+V)$$

$$+ (1-t)\beta VW + (n-3)spUW + (C_2 + 2\varepsilon)W^2.$$

The matrix $P_{\overline{A}}$ is exactly the coefficient matrix of the previous quadratic form subtracted with $\mathrm{diag}(0, 0, 2\varepsilon)$. Thus the assertion follows. $\qquad \square$

Lemma 6 (Terms involving A and Y, Z). *Set the matrix*

$$P_{AYZ} := \begin{pmatrix} s - \frac{n-1}{n-2} & \frac{1}{n-2} - \frac{s}{2} & \left(\frac{s}{2} - \frac{1}{n-2}\right)\gamma & a_1 \\ \frac{1}{n-2} - \frac{s}{2} & -\frac{1}{n-2} & -\frac{(n-4)\gamma}{2(n-2)} & a_2 \\ \left(\frac{s}{2} - \frac{1}{n-2}\right)\gamma & -\frac{(n-4)\gamma}{2(n-2)} & \frac{n-3}{n-2}\gamma^2 - \gamma & a_3 \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (23)$$

---

[4]Multiplying ψ^{-2} is only for the ease of expressions, one does not need to assume $\psi \neq 0$.

where we denote for convenience

$$a_1 := \frac{\beta}{n-2} - \frac{s\beta}{2} + \frac{n-3}{2}sp, \qquad a_2 := \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t\beta}{2} - \frac{\beta}{n-2},$$

$$a_3 := \left(\frac{1}{n-2} - \frac{t+1}{2}\right)\beta\gamma, \qquad a_4 := C_3 - \frac{\beta^2}{n-2} + (2-t)\beta + t\beta^2.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, collect the terms

$$I_A := [[2]] + [[20]] + [[22]], \tag{24}$$

$$I_{YZ} := [[4]] + [[7]] + [[8]] + [[11]] + [[14]] + \psi^2(C_3 Z^2 + hZ + \varepsilon h^2 + 2\varepsilon Z^2). \tag{25}$$

If $s \leqslant 2$ and $P_{AYZ} < 0$, then for some sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $I_A + I_{YZ} \leqslant 0$.

Proof. Since $s \leqslant 2$, we can drop the cross curvature terms A_{ei}, A_{ij} and get

$$\psi^{-2} I_A \leqslant -A_{ee}^2 - \sum_i A_{ii}^2 - sA_{ee}\sum_i A_{ii} + (n-3)spA_{ee}Z.$$

Using the trace inequality and noting that $\sum_i A_{ii} = H - A_{ee} = h - \gamma Y + \beta Z - A_{ee}$, we further estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{-2} I_A \leqslant &-A_{ee}^2 - \frac{1}{n-2}\left(h - \gamma Y + \beta Z - A_{ee}\right)^2 \\ &- sA_{ee}\left(h - \gamma Y + \beta Z - A_{ee}\right) + (n-3)spA_{ee}Z.\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{-2} I_{YZ} &= \beta Z^2 + t\beta(h - \gamma Y + \beta Z)Z + (1-t)\beta Z^2 - \gamma Y^2 \\ &\quad - \gamma(h - \gamma Y + \beta Z)Y + C_3 Z^2 + hZ + \varepsilon(h^2 + 2Z^2) \\ &= (2-t)\beta Z^2 - \gamma Y^2 + (-\gamma Y + t\beta Z)(h - \gamma Y + \beta Z) \\ &\quad + C_3 Z^2 + hZ + \varepsilon(h^2 + 2Z^2).\end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows by computing the coefficient matrix of $\psi^{-2}(I_A + I_{YZ})$, with variables $\{A_{ee}, h, Y, Z\}$. $\qquad\square$

3. PROOF OF THE EUCLIDEAN VOLUME GROWTH AND STABLE BERNSTEIN THEOREM

From now on let us make the following assumptions.

Assumption 1. *Let $n \geqslant 3$, and let $\gamma, s, p, \beta > 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that*

$$0 < s \leqslant 2, \quad 2\gamma \geqslant \max\{1, s\}, \quad P_{\overline{\nabla}} < 0, \quad P_{\overline{A}} < 0, \quad P_{AYZ} < 0. \tag{27}$$

Notice that if all the conditions in Assumption 1 are met, then using Lemma $4 \sim 6$, and Lemma 3, and (10), for some $\varepsilon \ll 1$ depending only on $n, \gamma, s, p, \beta, t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}0 \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{16}[[k]] &= s\int\left(\frac{n-2}{n-3}|\widetilde{\nabla}\eta|^2 + \widetilde{\text{Ric}}\cdot\eta^2\right)\mathrm{d}\widetilde{V} + \sum_{k=1}^{25}[[k]] \tag{28} \\ &\leqslant s\int\left(\frac{n-2}{n-3}|\widetilde{\nabla}\eta|^2 + \widetilde{\text{Ric}}\cdot\eta^2\right)\mathrm{d}\widetilde{V} + \left[I_{\overline{\nabla}} + I_{\overline{A}} + I_A + I_{YZ}\right] \\ &\quad + \int\left[-h_\nu - hr^{-1}r_\nu - \varepsilon h^2 - 2\varepsilon r^{-2}\right]\psi^2\mathrm{d}V \\ &\leqslant s\int\left(\frac{n-2}{n-3}|\widetilde{\nabla}\eta|^2 + \widetilde{\text{Ric}}\cdot\eta^2\right)\mathrm{d}\widetilde{V} - \varepsilon\int r^{-2}\psi^2\,\mathrm{d}V. \tag{29}\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7. *Let $M^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a stable minimal two-sided hypersurfaces with $n \geqslant 3$. Let $\gamma, s, p, \beta > 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that Assumption 1 is verified. Fix $\varepsilon \ll 1$ so that (29) holds. For each $r_0 > 0$, choose*

$$h = r^{-1} \cot\left(\varepsilon \log(r/r_0)\right). \tag{30}$$

Then there exists a stable μ-bubble Ω for the energy (5), with

$$B(0, r_0) \subset\subset \Omega \subset\subset B(0, \pi^{1/\varepsilon} r_0), \tag{31}$$

and moreover

$$\mathrm{vol}(\Sigma) \leqslant C(s, \varepsilon) r_0^{n-1}.$$

Proof. Given the explicit expression of h in (30), the standard existence theory for μ-bubbles [22] proves the existence of a stable μ-bubble Ω for the energy (5) satisfying (31). Denote $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$. By our derivations above, the conformal metric $\widetilde{g} = r^{-2p} g$ on Σ satisfies the stability inequality (29). Recall the relation $\psi = r^{\frac{3-n}{2}p} \eta$. Taking this transformation and the conformal change into account, (29) is equivalent to

$$\int \left(\frac{n-2}{n-3} |\widetilde{\nabla}\eta|^2 + \widetilde{\mathrm{Ric}} \cdot \eta^2\right) \mathrm{d}\widetilde{V} \geqslant s^{-1}\varepsilon \int r^{2p-2} \eta^2 \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{V} \geqslant C r_0^{2p-2} \int \eta^2 \, \mathrm{d}\widetilde{V}.$$

Passing to the universal cover, we can assume M is simply connected. Then by [7], M has one end, hence we can assume that Σ is connected. As a result, by [2, Theorem 1], we have the volume bound

$$C' r_0^{-(n-1)(p-1)} \geqslant \mathrm{vol}(\Sigma, \widetilde{g}) = \int r^{-(n-1)p} \, \mathrm{d}V \geqslant C r_0^{-(n-1)p} \, \mathrm{vol}(\Sigma).$$

This implies $\mathrm{vol}(\Sigma) \leqslant C r_0^{n-1}$. $\square$

Theorem 1. *Let $M^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a stable minimal two-sided hypersurfaces with $n \geqslant 3$. Assume there are $\gamma, s, p, \beta > 0$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that Assumption 1 is verified. Then M has Euclidean volume growth.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Lemma 7 and Michael–Simon inequality [18] (see also [6]). $\square$

Lemma 8. *If $3 \leqslant n \leqslant 5$, there exist constants satisfying Assumption 1. If $n = 6$, there is no choice of constants for which Assumption 1 is true.*

Proof. By direct verification when $n = 5$ a choice that works is:

$$(s, p, \gamma, \beta, t) = (9/10, 1/2, 9/10, 1, 1/3).$$

When $n \in \{3, 4\}$, a choice that works is:

$$(s, p, \gamma, \beta, t) = (1, 0, 1, 1, 1).$$

Now suppose $n = 6$. We make the following observations:

(i) The $(1, 1)$-entry of P_∇ and P_{AYZ} are negative. These imply $\frac{3}{4} < s < \frac{5}{4}$. The upper left (2×2) block of P_{AYZ} has positive determinant, which implies $s < 1$.

(ii) The $(2, 2)$-entry of $P_{\overline{A}}$ and the $(3, 3)$-entry of P_{AYZ} are negative. These imply $\frac{4}{5} < \gamma < \frac{4}{3}$.

(iii) The upper left (2×2) block of P_∇ has positive determinant, which implies $s > \frac{3}{4-\gamma}$. Combined with $s < 1$, this implies $\gamma < 1$.

(iv) The upper left (2×2) block of $P_{\overline{A}}$ has positive determinant, which implies

$$6\gamma^2 - 5\gamma > s^2 - 4s + 4\gamma s. \tag{32}$$

The right side of (32) is increasing when $s > 2(1 - \gamma)$, therefore combined with $s > \frac{3}{4-\gamma}$ we have

$$6\gamma^2 - 5\gamma > \frac{9}{(4-\gamma)^2} + \frac{12(\gamma-1)}{4-\gamma}.$$

However, this is not possible when $\frac{4}{5} < \gamma < 1$. $\qquad\square$

Theorem 2. *Let $M^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a stable minimal two-sided hypersurfaces with $3 \leqslant n \leqslant 5$. Then M^n is a flat hyperplane.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 8, Theorem 1, and Schoen–Simon–Yau's result in [20]. $\quad\square$

Bibliography

[1] F. J. Almgren Jr. "Some interior regularity theorems for minimal surfaces and an extension of Bernstein's theorem". In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 84 (1966), pp. 277–292. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: 10.2307/1970520.

[2] G. Antonelli and K. Xu. *New spectral Bishop-Gromov and Bonnet-Myers theorems and applications to isoperimetry.* 2024. arXiv: 2405.08918 [math.DG].

[3] C. Bellettini. "Extensions of Schoen–Simon–Yau and Schoen–Simon theorems via iteration à la De Giorgi". Preprint arXiv:2310.01340. 2023.

[4] S. Bernstein. "Über ein geometrisches Theorem und seine Anwendung auf die partiellen Differentialgleichungen vom elliptischen Typus". In: *Math. Z.* 26.1 (1927), pp. 551–558. ISSN: 0025-5874,1432-1823. DOI: 10.1007/BF01475472.

[5] E. Bombieri, E. De Giorgi, and E. Giusti. "Minimal cones and the Bernstein problem". In: *Invent. Math.* 7 (1969), pp. 243–268. ISSN: 0020-9910,1432-1297. DOI: 10.1007/BF01404309.

[6] S. Brendle. "The isoperimetric inequality for a minimal submanifold in Euclidean space". In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 34.2 (2021), pp. 595–603. ISSN: 0894-0347,1088-6834. DOI: 10.1090/jams/969.

[7] H.-D. Cao, Y. Shen, and S. Zhu. "The structure of stable minimal hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$". In: *Math. Res. Lett.* 4.5 (1997), pp. 637–644. ISSN: 1073-2780. DOI: 10.4310/MRL.1997.v4.n5.a2.

[8] M. do Carmo and C. K. Peng. "Stable complete minimal surfaces in $\mathbf{R}^3$ are planes". In: *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 1.6 (1979), pp. 903–906. ISSN: 0273-0979,1088-9485. DOI: 10.1090/S0273-0979-1979-14689-5.

[9] G. Catino, P. Mastrolia, and A. Roncoroni. "Two rigidity results for stable minimal hypersurfaces". In: *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 34.1 (2024), pp. 1–18. ISSN: 1016-443X,1420-8970. DOI: 10.1007/s00039-024-00662-1.

[10] O. Chodosh and C. Li. "Stable minimal hypersuraces in $\mathbb{R}^4$". Preprint. arXiv:2108.11462. Accepted in *Acta Math.* 2021.

[11] O. Chodosh and C. Li. "Stable anisotropic minimal hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^4$". In: *Forum Math. Pi* 11 (2023), Paper No. e3, 22. ISSN: 2050-5086. DOI: 10.1017/fmp.2023.1.

[12] O. Chodosh, Chao Li, P. Minter, and D. Stryker. "Stable minimal hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^5$". Preprint arXiv:2401.01492. 2024.

[13] E. De Giorgi. "Una estensione del teorema di Bernstein". In: *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3)* 19 (1965), pp. 79–85. ISSN: 0391-173X.

[14] D. Fischer-Colbrie and R. Schoen. "The structure of complete stable minimal surfaces in 3-manifolds of nonnegative scalar curvature". In: *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 33.2 (1980), pp. 199–211. ISSN: 0010-3640,1097-0312. DOI: 10.1002/cpa.3160330206.

[15] W. H. Fleming. "On the oriented Plateau problem". In: *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)* 11 (1962), pp. 69–90. ISSN: 0009-725X. DOI: 10.1007/BF02849427.

[16] J. M. Lee. *Introduction to Riemannian manifolds*. Second. Vol. 176. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2018, pp. xiii+437. ISBN: 978-3-319-91754-2; 978-3-319-91755-9.

[17] L. Mazet. *Stable minimal hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^6$*. 2024. arXiv: 2405.14676 [math.DG].

[18] J. H. Michael and L. M. Simon. "Sobolev and mean-value inequalities on generalized submanifolds of R^n". In: *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 26 (1973), pp. 361–379. ISSN: 0010-3640,1097-0312. DOI: 10.1002/cpa.3160260305.

[19] A. V. Pogorelov. "On the stability of minimal surfaces". In: *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 260.2 (1981), pp. 293–295. ISSN: 0002-3264.

[20] R. Schoen, L. Simon, and S. T. Yau. "Curvature estimates for minimal hypersurfaces". In: *Acta Math.* 134.3-4 (1975), pp. 275–288. ISSN: 0001-5962,1871-2509. DOI: 10.1007/BF02392104.

[21] J. Simons. "Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds". In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 88 (1968), pp. 62–105. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: 10.2307/1970556.

[22] J. Zhu. "Width estimate and doubly warped product". In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 374.2 (2021), pp. 1497–1511. ISSN: 0002-9947,1088-6850. DOI: 10.1090/tran/8263.